Dear Editor,

I have read with great interest the article entitled “The Italian Society of Rheumatology clinical practice guidelines for the management of polymyalgia rheumatica”, published in the latest issue of Reumatismo (1).

In the introduction, the Authors report the different incidence of polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) observed in two Italian geographical areas, with yearly data between 0.12 to 0.27 cases for 1,000 people (2,3). To this day, Italian epidemiological studies on PMR can be counted on the fingers of one hand.

It is common knowledge that the general practitioner (GP) is usually the first physician who examines the PMR patient. Many PMR patients are not referred to rheumatologists or are referred only in the presence of diagnostic or therapeutic issues, atypical findings such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR] < 30 mm/l h at the time of diagnosis or PMR scarcely responding to prednisone therapy or with severe systemic manifestations, for example (4-6). In a study from the UK, only 17% of patients with PMR was referred to a rheumatologist (7).

Together with other researchers I suggested that all future epidemiological studies should also consider territorial data, in addition to hospital and university ones because the incidence of PMR could be much higher than commonly thought (8).

This is a critical point. What is PMR for the rheumatologist is the same for GP? Is it possible that the out-of-hospital public rheumatologist visits many more patients affected by PMR than the hospital or university rheumatologist? It is possible, because PMR is almost always an outpatient disease.

Unfortunately, there were no out-of-hospital public rheumatologists among the members of the working group involved in the development of the recommendations for clinical and laboratory assessments, treatment and follow-up of PMR patients reported in the above-mentioned article. Only one GP was invited. I think that this was a missed opportunity. No doubt, the need for an active involvement of all stakeholder is still unmet.
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